

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,602	07/15/2003	Naoki Matsumoto	010986.52602US	5343
23911	7590 09/12/2005		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			ALEJANDRO MULERO, LUZ L	
P.O. BOX 143		.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			1763	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/618,602	MATSUMOTO ET	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Luz L. Alejandro	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreheniod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	<u>ıly 2005</u> .					
·	action is non-final.					
,—						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12,18,20 and 24 is/ 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13-17,19 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	are withdrawn from consideratio	n.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ,					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0405, 1104.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of the invention of species A in the reply filed on 7/22/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1-12, 18, 20, and 24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected specie, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/618,602

Art Unit: 1763

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 13-14, 16, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi et al., U.S. Patent 6,469,448 in view of Baldwin, Jr. et al., U.S. Patent 6,280,563.

Taguchi et al. shows the invention substantially as claimed including a plasma processing apparatus for supplying radio-frequency power into a process chamber so as to generate plasma, to thereby treat an object to be processed with the plasma, wherein the process chamber has a top plate with a bias applied which is disposed opposite to the object to be processed through the medium of a region for generating the plasma.

Taguchi et al. does not expressly disclose where the top plate comprises a metal based or silicon based material. Baldwin, Jr. et al. discloses a top plate 44 with a potential applied which is made of a metal (see fig. 1 and its description). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Taguchi et al. so as to have the top plate composed of a metal because, as disclosed by Baldwin, Jr. et al., such a material is suitable for having RF potential applied.

With respect to claim 14, note that at least one metal radio frequency antenna (8 or 9) is disposed, in the process chamber, so as to provide a linear and/or curved line.

Concerning claim 16, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine through routine experimentation the

Application/Control Number: 10/618,602

Art Unit: 1763

optimum length of the antenna based upon a variety of factors including the desired area of the plasma distribution and such limitation would not lend patentability to the instant application absent a showing of unexpected results.

Regarding claim 23, note that the apparatus includes a susceptor 6 for supporting the object to be processed in the process chamber, and a bias 7 is applicable to the susceptor.

Claims 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi et al., U.S. Patent 6,469,448 in view of Baldwin, Jr. et al., U.S. Patent 6,280,563 as applied to claims 13-14, 16, and 23 above, and further in view of Glukhoy, US 2003/0168172.

Taguchi et al. and Baldwin, Jr. et al. are applied as above but do not expressly disclose that the antenna disposed in the process chamber is covered with an insulating material so that the radio-frequency antenna does not directly contact the plasma, and wherein an insulating fluid is circulated between the antenna and the insulating material. Glukhov discloses that the antenna disposed in the process chamber is covered with an insulating material 64 so that the radio-frequency antenna does not directly contact the plasma, and wherein an insulating fluid is circulated between the antenna and the insulating material using tubes 82 (see paragraphs 0035-0036). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Taguchi et al. modified by Baldwin, Jr. et al. in order to cover the antenna with an insulating material and circulate an insulating

fluid between the antenna and the insulating material because such a structure will protect the antenna as well as controlling the temperature of the antenna to avoid damage.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi et al., U.S. Patent 6,469,448 in view of Baldwin, Jr. et al., U.S. Patent 6,280,563 as applied to claims 13-14, 16, and 23 above, and further in view of Holland et al., U.S. Patent 5,975,013 or Takagi et al., US 2004/0020432.

Taguchi et al. and Baldwin, Jr. et al. are applied as above but do not expressly disclose wherein the thickness or diameter of the radio frequency antenna disposed in the process chamber is changed along with the propagation direction of the radio frequency power.

Holland et al. discloses varying the thickness or diameter of a radio frequency antenna (see fig. 11 and its description), as does Takagi et al. (see fig. 2 and its description). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Taguchi et al. modified by Baldwin, Jr. et al. so as to vary the thickness and/or the diameter of the coil because in such a way a uniform plasma density can be achieved.

Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi et al., U.S. Patent 6,469,448 in view of Baldwin, Jr. et al., U.S. Patent

Art Unit: 1763

6,280,563 as applied to claims 13-14, 16, and 23 above, and further in view of Grimbergen et al., U.S. Patent 6,390,019.

Taguchi et al. and Baldwin, Jr. et al. are applied as above but do not expressly disclose wherein a measuring device is disposed in at least one position of the top plate so as to monitor the state of the generated plasma and the top plate has a plurality of apertures for passing a gas to be supplied to the process chamber. Grimbergen et al. discloses a measuring device 25 which is disposed in the top of the chamber so as to monitor the state of the generated plasma (see fig. 1 and its description), and a top plate which has a plurality of apertures for passing a gas to be supplied to the process chamber (see, for example, figs. 2 and 3a and their descriptions). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Taguchi et al. modified by Baldwin, Jr. et al. so as to have the measuring device and apertures as suggested by Grimbergen et al. because having the measuring device and apertures in the top plate allows for accurate measurements and uniform distribution of the gas across the workpiece.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luz L. Alejandro whose telephone number is 571-272-1430. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,602 Page 7

Art Unit: 1763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571-272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Luz L. Alejandro Primary Examiner Art Unit 1763

September 6, 2005